

From: ["Shea, Valois" </O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP \(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT\)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A4217A71307D4429B7BDC7C80EB40C7D-SHEA, VALOIS>](mailto:Shea.Valois@EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A4217A71307D4429B7BDC7C80EB40C7D-SHEA, VALOIS)

To: [Hoskie](#)
["Sadie: Minter"](#)
[Douglas](#)

CC:

Date: 3/11/2013 8:26:20 AM

Subject: Information from meeting with Superfund group to discuss abandoned mines at the Dewey Burdock site. Action Item: do we brief Derrith?

Hi Sadie & Douglas,

At the meeting Thursday, Suzanne Bohan presented information about the status of NEPA work at the Dewey Burdock site. I presented information about our UIC permits and aquifer exemption decisions. I also presented information about the location of the abandoned mines in relation to the UIC wellfields and the geologic formations involved in the historic mining in relation to the proposed injection zones. Annette (Maple Barnard) with Superfund discussed the proposed Statement of Work to reassess the abandoned mines and identify data gaps. The work will be a reassessment because the site had been assessed by EPA back in 1987. EPA received a recent request from a citizen that the abandoned mines at the Dewey Burdock site be re-evaluated.

The Superfund folks want to coordinate with all the involved programs so that everyone is aware of what their proposed action is and to make sure it doesn't conflict with work other programs are doing at the site. Their next step is to brief the ARAs. They asked me if Derrith was up to date with the Dewey Burdock project. I said we had briefed her early on.

So I guess our action item is to ask Derrith if she would like a update briefing from us before she meets with Superfund.

Thank!